

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/926,447	10/21/2002	Thomas Grassl	GRAS3004/JEK	4692
23364	7590 05/03/2006		EXAMINER	
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 SLATERS LANE			SELLS, JAMES D	
FOURTH FL	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDR	IA, VA 22314		1734	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				7			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	- - -			
		09/926,447	GRASSL ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		James Sells	1734	_			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Fe	ebruary 2006.	•				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims	•					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>18,20-22,27,30-33,35-39 and 42-51</u> is	s/are pending in the application.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 18,20-22,27,30-33,35-39 and 42-51 is	s/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	,		,			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	ion No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	∌d.				
Attachmen	ıt(s)	•					
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/926,447

Art Unit: 1734

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 18, 27, 33 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lang et al (US Patent 6,046,073) in view of Grupen-Shemansky (US Patent 5,268,065).

Lang discloses a process for making semiconductor chips and the chips made thereby. As shown in Fig. 1, a thinned semiconductor chip 1 is arranged on contact surfaces 2 of a leadframe. Electrical connections are formed between contact studs 3 and contact surfaces 2. See col. 2, line 63 through col. 3, line 30. At col. 2, lines 1-15, Lang discloses that the assembly is in normal use in a smart card assembly. In addition, it appears from Fig. 1, that chip 1 is applied to the external surface of the card in the manner claimed by the applicant.

However, Lang does not disclose thinning the chip from the back side as claimed by the applicant. Regarding this difference, the applicant is directed to the reference of Grupen-Shemansky.

Grupen-Shemansky discloses a method for thinning a semiconductor wafer. As shown in the figures, back side 13 of semiconductor wafer 11 undergoes a mechanical grinding in order to thin the chip. See col. 2, line 56 through col. 3, line 3.

Application/Control Number: 09/926,447 Page 3

Art Unit: 1734

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to thin a chip from the back side, as taught by Grupen-Shemansky, in the process of Lang, in order to provide smaller, more compact chips.

3. Claims 20-22, 30-32, 35-38 and 42-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lang et al in view of Grupen-Shemansky as described above in paragraph 2 in view of Kohama et al (US Patent 6,412,701).

Kohama discloses a method for incorporating a chip into a smart card. This method involves applying the chip to a surface of the smart card externally. As shown in Figs. 8-9, chip 1 with conductive paths or coils 2 is "hot pressed" in recesses 15 with its front side pointing outside to the surface of the smart card. See col. 12, lines 4-18 and 46-51.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to hot press the chip into a cavity, as taught by Kohama, in the method and article of Lang in order to produce smart cards with desired physical configurations. In addition, without the disclosure of unexpected results, it is the examiner's position that the protective lacquer and printing technique are well known and conventional in the art and would have been obvious to employ in the method and article of Lang as described above in order to provide desired protection and to facilitate production of the articles.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 09/926,447

Art Unit: 1734

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claim 20, 30, 35 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 20 depends from canceled claim 19.

Claim 30 depends from canceled claim 28.

Claim 35 depends from canceled claim 34.

Claim 42 depends from canceled claim 40.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18, 20-22, 27, 30-33, 35-39 and 42-51 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Telephone/Fax

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Sells whose telephone number is (571) 272-1237. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 9:30 AM and 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Fiorilla can be reached at (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

JAMES SELLS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECH. CENTER 1700